STUDENT EVALU	RULES OF PROCEDURE JATION OF COURSES FROM UNDERGRADUATE, GRADUATE AND INTEGRATED STUDIES
Aim	Evaluation of the quality of course teaching with the aim of improving it based on students' assessments, suggestions and proposals.
Responsibility for implementation	Quality Assurance and Improvement Committee Department head Course coordinator Expert Advisor for Quality Assurance and Improvement
Implementation and data processing methodology	Courses from undergraduate, graduate and integrated studies are evaluated in the last week of classes in accordance with the course syllabus. The evaluation is conducted on MS Teams using an MS Forms questionnaire approved by the University Quality Assurance and Faculty Council.
	If the course is not conducted via MS Teams, the expert advisor will send a link to the MS Forms questionnaire to the department secretary, who will upload the link on the Merlin e-learning platform; if the course coordinator does not use the Merlin platform either, the expert advisor will send the link to the MS Forms questionnaire to the department secretary, who will forward the link to the student representative of the year of study and the group email address of that year of study
Publication of results	The expert advisor sends the evaluation results to the Department head and Course coordinator after the second exam period of the course undergoing student evaluation, i.e. no later than July 15 in the academic year in which the evaluation is conducted The course coordinator is obligated to forward the evaluation results to all teachers participating in the course undergoing evaluation. The expert advisor is obligated to forward them to the student representative of the year of study in which the evaluated course is conducted.
	The following persons have the right to access the evaluation results: - course coordinator - course teachers - representative of the year of study - department head - chair of the Quality Assurance and Improvement Committee - vice dean for teaching - vice dean for quality assurance - dean Once a year, the chair of the Quality Assurance and Improvement Committee submits the overall student course evaluation results to the Faculty Council.
Implementation dynamics	All compulsory courses from undergraduate, graduate and integrated studies are evaluated every academic year. All elective courses are evaluated every academic year.

Procedure in case of	Student course evaluation results are unsatisfactory if the average evaluation
unsatisfactory	score of all parts of the questionnaire filled out by at least 30% of the students
evaluation results	enrolled in the course is less than three (< 3.00) .
	In case of unsatisfactory evaluation results, the chair of the Quality Assurance
	and Improvement Committee is obligated to organize a meeting with the
	department head and the coordinator of the evaluated course to analyze and
	discuss the evaluation results.

Questionnaire of the Faculty of Medicine in Rijeka

STUDENT COURSE EVALUATION

Dear students,

by filling out this questionnaire, you can influence the improvement of teaching and let us know if there are any shortcomings in the teaching process. Your opinion is important to us, so we kindly ask you to answer the questions honestly and benevolently by expressing your opinion.

Your responses are completely anonymous. The questions are divided into several units: questions about the course, course teaching, knowledge assessment, teaching and non-teaching staff and the overall overview of the course.

The language used in this questionnaire is gender-neutral and is equally applicable to both genders.

A. GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE COURSE

On a scale from 1 to 5 (1 – Strongly disagree; 5 – Strongly agree, 0 – Cannot evaluate), evaluate the level of agreement with the following statements.

What was your interest in the content of the course at the beginning of the course?	a) none b) low c) medium d) high
How much did you prepare for this course per week?	a) Up to half an hour b) 1-3 hours c) 3-5 hours d) more than 6 hours
ECTS credits appointed to the course are in accordance with the workload (1 ECTS=25 to 30 working hours during the semester for class and independent work	
What grade do you expect from this course?	a) 2 b) 3 c) 4 d) 5

B. COURSE TEACHING

On a scale from 1 to 5 (1 – Strongly disagree; 5 – Strongly agree, 0 – Cannot evaluate), evaluate	te the level of
agreement with the following statements.	

	1	2	3	4	5	0	
I am satisfied with the overall quality of the lectures.							
Lectures significantly help in the learning process and familiarizing with the course content.							
I am satisfied with the overall quality of the seminars.							
Seminars significantly help in the learning process and familiarizing with the course content.							
I am satisfied with the overall quality of the practicals.							
Practicals significantly help in the learning process and familiarizing with the course content.							
All the literature for the course is available in the Faculty Library.							
Assigned reading materials are easily understandable and appropriate for the year of study.							
Teaching equipment and rooms meet the requirements for teaching and achieving learning outcomes.							
I am satisfied with the organization and quality of conducted online classes.							

C. CONTINUOUS ASSESSMENT DURING THE COURSE

On a scale from 1 to 5 (1 – Strongly disagree; 5 – Strongly agree, 0 – Cannot evaluate), evaluate the level of agreement with the following statements.

	1	2	3	4	5	0
I am satisfied with the way knowledge assessment is conducted throughout the course.						
The content of continuous assessment during the course is in accordance with the learning outcomes of the course.						
Written tests are adequately translated into English.						

D. TEACHING AND NON-TEACHING STAFF ON THE COURSE

On a scale from 1 to 5 (1 – Strongly disagree; 5 – Strongly agree, 0 – Cannot evaluate), evaluate the level of agreement with the following statements.

	1	2	3	4	5	0
I am satisfied with how approachable the teaching staff is.						
I am satisfied with how motivated and committed the teaching staff is.						

Teachers are motivated and enthusiastic about conducting online classes.						
I am satisfied with how approachable the non-teaching staff is.						
E. OVERALL EVALUATION OF THE COURSE On a scale from 1 to 5 (1 – Strongly disagree; 5 – Strongly agree, 0) − Ca	nnot e	valuat	te), ev	aluate	the level of
agreement with the following statements.	1	2	3	4	5	0
Overall evaluation of the course						
The course met your expectations.						
Comment on previous questions regarding teaching methods (extraording teaching methods) (extraording teaching teaching methods) (extraording teaching teaching teaching methods) (extraording teaching	focuse course	and it	n mor	e deta icabilit useful:	il) ty in p do yo	ractice u think it
Comment on previous questions regarding knowledge assessment	ent du	ring th	ne cou	ırse		
Comment on the course teaching and non-teaching staff (if posinstructor individually)	sible,	please	e comr	ment c	on eacl	n course

Comment on the suitability of online teaching for introducing the course content and achieving
learning outcomes
Overall evaluation of the course