PhD CANDIDATE’S ANNUAL PROGRESS REPORT

|  |
| --- |
| General information |
| Name of the doctoral study programme |       |
| Title, first and last name of the PhD candidate |       |
| Identification number of the PhD candidate |       |
| Reporting period |        |
| E-mail |       |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. SUPERVISOR/CO-SUPERVISOR
 |
| * 1. Supervisor
 |
| Title, first and last name | Institution, country |
|       |       |
|       |       |
| * 1. Co-supervisor
 |
| Title, first and last name | Institution, country |
|       |       |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. ANNUAL REPORT
 |
| * 1. Has a work plan been drawn up? (please tick the box)
 | [ ]  yes [ ]  no |
| * 1. If you ticked “no” to the previous question, explain why and suggest how this can be amended.
 |
|       |
| * 1. Describe the progress made since the last report (no more than 500 words).
 |
|       |
| * 1. Provide a brief description of the work plan for the next reporting period (no more than 500 words).
 |
|       |
| * 1. List all the difficulties that affect or may affect the course of your study.
 |
|       |
| * 1. Assistance in teaching courses (list courses in whose teaching you participate, indicate type of teaching and workload)
 |
| Course title | Type of teaching | Workload (hours of teaching per week) | Total (hours of teaching per semester) |
|       |       |       |       |
|       |       |       |       |
|       |       |       |       |
|       |       |       |       |
| * 1. Participation in research and professional projects (in the previous academic year)
 |
| Institution | From | To | Name and type of project |
|       |       |       |       |
|       |       |       |       |
|       |       |       |       |
| * 1. Participation in professional training abroad (in the previous academic year)
 |
| Country | Institution | From | To | Name  |
|       |       |       |       |       |
|       |       |       |       |       |
|       |       |       |       |       |
| * 1. Participation in scientific and professional conferences (in the previous academic year)
 |
| Institution | From | To | Conference title and type of presentation/publication |
|       |       |       |       |
|       |       |       |       |
|       |       |       |       |
| * 1. Attendance to courses and invited lectures planned by the study programme curriculum
 |
| Course | ECTS credits | Grade |
|       |       |       |
|       |       |       |
|       |       |       |
|       |       |       |
|       |       |       |
| * 1. Published scientific papers (attach a printout of all the scientific papers published in the previous academic year and indexed in the CROSBI electronic bibliography)
 |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. EVALUATION OF THE SUPERVISOR
 |
| * 1. Please rate the following items on a scale from 1 to 5:

(1 – insufficient, 2 – sufficient, 3 – good, 4 – very good, 5 – excellent) |
| Clear articulation of research goals and expectations from the PhD candidate | [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ] 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Help in planning annual research activities and professional training | [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ] 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Regular work with the PhD candidate | [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ] 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Encouragement to publish and help in publishing scientific papers | [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ] 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Supervisor’s overall relationship with the PhD candidate | [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ] 1 2 3 4 5 |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. EVALUATION OF THE DOCTORAL STUDY PROGRAMME
 |
| * 1. Please rate your satisfaction with the quality of the annual doctoral study programme on a scale from 1 to 5:
 |
| [ ]  1 – insufficient [ ]  2 – sufficient [ ]  3 – good [ ]  4 – very good [ ]  5 – excellent |
| * 1. If you ticked 1 or 2 to the previous question, explain why and suggest how this can be amended.
 |
|       |

|  |
| --- |
| Place, date and signature |
| Rijeka, dd/mm/yyyyySignature(first and last name of the PhD candidate) |