PhD CANDIDATE’S ANNUAL PROGRESS REPORT

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| General information | |
| Name of the doctoral study programme |  |
| Title, first and last name of the PhD candidate |  |
| Identification number of the PhD candidate |  |
| Reporting period |  |
| E-mail |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. SUPERVISOR/CO-SUPERVISOR | |
| * 1. Supervisor | |
| Title, first and last name | Institution, country |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| * 1. Co-supervisor | |
| Title, first and last name | Institution, country |
|  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. ANNUAL REPORT | | | | | | | | | |
| * 1. Has a work plan been drawn up? (please tick the box) | | | | | yes  no | | | | |
| * 1. If you ticked “no” to the previous question, explain why and suggest how this can be amended. | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | |
| * 1. Describe the progress made since the last report (no more than 500 words). | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | |
| * 1. Provide a brief description of the work plan for the next reporting period (no more than 500 words). | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | |
| * 1. List all the difficulties that affect or may affect the course of your study. | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | |
| * 1. Assistance in teaching courses (list courses in whose teaching you participate, indicate type of teaching and workload) | | | | | | | | | |
| Course title | | Type of teaching | | | Workload (hours of teaching per week) | | | | Total (hours of teaching per semester) |
|  | |  | | |  | | | |  |
|  | |  | | |  | | | |  |
|  | |  | | |  | | | |  |
|  | |  | | |  | | | |  |
| * 1. Participation in research and professional projects (in the previous academic year) | | | | | | | | | |
| Institution | | | From | | To | | Name and type of project | | |
|  | | |  | |  | |  | | |
|  | | |  | |  | |  | | |
|  | | |  | |  | |  | | |
| * 1. Participation in professional training abroad (in the previous academic year) | | | | | | | | | |
| Country | Institution | | | From | | To | | Name | |
|  |  | | |  | |  | |  | |
|  |  | | |  | |  | |  | |
|  |  | | |  | |  | |  | |
| * 1. Participation in scientific and professional conferences (in the previous academic year) | | | | | | | | | |
| Institution | | | From | | To | | Conference title and type of presentation/publication | | |
|  | | |  | |  | |  | | |
|  | | |  | |  | |  | | |
|  | | |  | |  | |  | | |
| * 1. Attendance to courses and invited lectures planned by the study programme curriculum | | | | | | | | | |
| Course | | | | | ECTS credits | | | | Grade |
|  | | | | |  | | | |  |
|  | | | | |  | | | |  |
|  | | | | |  | | | |  |
|  | | | | |  | | | |  |
|  | | | | |  | | | |  |
| * 1. Published scientific papers (attach a printout of all the scientific papers published in the previous academic year and indexed in the CROSBI electronic bibliography) | | | | | | | | | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. EVALUATION OF THE SUPERVISOR | |
| * 1. Please rate the following items on a scale from 1 to 5:   (1 – insufficient, 2 – sufficient, 3 – good, 4 – very good, 5 – excellent) | |
| Clear articulation of research goals and expectations from the PhD candidate | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Help in planning annual research activities and professional training | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Regular work with the PhD candidate | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Encouragement to publish and help in publishing scientific papers | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Supervisor’s overall relationship with the PhD candidate | 1 2 3 4 5 |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. EVALUATION OF THE DOCTORAL STUDY PROGRAMME |
| * 1. Please rate your satisfaction with the quality of the annual doctoral study programme on a scale from 1 to 5: |
| 1 – insufficient  2 – sufficient  3 – good  4 – very good  5 – excellent |
| * 1. If you ticked 1 or 2 to the previous question, explain why and suggest how this can be amended. |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| Place, date and signature |
| Rijeka, dd/mm/yyyyy  Signature  (first and last name of the PhD candidate) |